(3) (1)

Final

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2381 /2003

PETITIONER

Ku. Gayanmani Franklin, D/o. Late Harman Franklin, aged about 50 years, R/o. District Women and Child Development Office, Near Government Hospital, Dhamtari (C.G.)

P. R. No. 2261/03
Presented by Shri A. Nigam
dated 06/08/03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. **State of Chhattisgarh**
Through Special Secretary,
Women and Child Welfare Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. **State of Madhya Pradesh**
Through Principal Secretary,
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)
3. **The Commissioner**
Directorate, Women and Child Development Department, Near Old Nursing Hostel, Raipur (C.G.)
4. **Collector,**
Dhamtari, District Dhamtari (C.G.)
5. **Union of India,**
Through Secretary,
Personnel Public Grievance and Pension Ministry, Personal and Training Department, Loknayak Bhawan Khan Market, New Delhi

Copy received
6.8.03
(V.V.S. Murthy)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

The humble petition

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 2381/2003 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

07.06.2004

Shri Anoop Majumdar, Counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Vinod Shrivastava, Govt. Advocate for respondents 1,3 and 4.

Shri V.V.S.Murthy, Additional Central Government Standing Counsel for respondent no.5.

I.A.No.3193/2004 for hearing the case during the summer vacation and I.A.No.3194/04 for urgent hearing are allowed.

Heard.

Petitioner has filed this petition on the ground that he ought to have been at serial no.1 whereas he has been shown at no.17.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondent along with a copy of the petition and this order, within a period of 15 days and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondent shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if

[पीछे देखिये

(91)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित (2)	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Rajpal